


EXHIBIT 17



Date: Tuesday, April 15 2014 01:09 PM
Subject: Re: 568 Talking points paper
From: Patricia McWade <mcwadep@georgetown.edu>
To: Ted Bracken <ted.bracken@yahoo.com>;

I think it was made clear to those wanting to participate in 568 that they could NOT use an EFC that was lower than what is calculated using the CA, unless they had documented the case using "professional judgment". That was why HYP felt the need to leave the group; they wanted to offer more "need-based" scholarship aid. Maybe this is not the document to explain that nuance but it is a reality.

On Tue, Apr 15, 2014 at 12:57 PM, Ted Bracken <ted.bracken@yahoo.com> wrote:

I think this is a improvement on what were were trying to say, Pat.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Kristine E Dillon <[REDACTED]@MIT.EDU>
Subject: RE: 568 Talking points paper
Date: April 15, 2014 at 12:51:29 PM EDT
To: Ted Bracken <ted.bracken@yahoo.com>
Cc: Megan Arleth <marleth@mit.edu>

Ted--

Sorry to be slow with my comments. I was traveling last week and editing documents was not possible.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ted Bracken [mailto:ted.bracken@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2014 12:31 PM

To: Bruce C Layton; Megan Arleth; Scott Fleming; Patricia McWade; Chris Simmons; [REDACTED]

Cc: Kristine E Dillon

Subject: 568 Talking points paper

All,

Attached is the latest draft of the 'talking points' piece that has now had the benefit of several improvements suggested by the group.

Let me know how I can be of further help.

Ted